

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,650	10/19/2001	Daniel M. Swain	SCM-121-A	9251	
7590 04/29/2005			EXAMINER		
John A. Artz			MARSH, S	MARSH, STEVEN M	
Artz & Artz, P.C. 283333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Southfield, MI 48304			3632	3632	
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/200	DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Advisory Action	
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/054,650	SWAIN, DANIEL M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Steven M Marsh	3632		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven M Marsh	3632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires to the sta</li></ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affatice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. If
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			scause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ecteu ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		·	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nt before or on the date of filing a North day the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other: The amended language would not overcome the	rejections of the previous action un	der section 102	
	- Francis de Control	A	1=
		BARRON O DAM	DEZ

sh

RAMON O. RAMIREZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 355-363 2